Att4_SWF_Budget_1of1

ATTACHMENT 4. BUDGET

Attachments to this Section:

Budget Detail and Tables 6 and 7

The Sonoma County Water Agency submitted AB 1420 compliance tables and supporting documentation to DWR for the Proposition 84 Round 1 Implementation Grant. DWR responded in a letter dated January 27, 2011 that the Sonoma County Water Agency has and is currently implementing the BMPs consistent with AB 1420 and, therefore, is eligible to receive water management grant or loan funds. Copies of these documents are attached for reference.

Supplemental Details Required for: Row (a) Direct Project Administration Costs

Note: Limit administrative costs proposed to be reimbursed by the grant to less than 5% of the total Proposal costs.

1) List hourly wage paid by discipline, and number of hours to be expended for administration. These should include all costs for the grant recipient and any agencies or organizations. **Provide** back-up data (i.e. Invoices).

Discipline	Hourly Wage (\$/hr)	Number of Hours	Total	
Principal Engineer	\$185.00	200	\$37,000.00	
Water Agency Engineer	\$132.00	460	\$60,720.00	Note: Water Agency procures a Labor Compliance
Sr Env. Specialist	\$118.00	326	\$38,468.00	Consultant with a state- approved program to implement all aspects of labo compliance including
Water Agency Coordinator	\$171.00	260	\$44,460.00	
				prevailing wages. See Row (F)
		Total	\$180,648.00	for costs.

2) List costs for equipment or supplies. These should include all costs for the grant recipient and any agencies or organizations. Provide back-up data (i.e. Invoices).

Equipment/Supplies	Cost (\$)
Total	\$0.00

3) Total cost for both administration and equipment/supplies:

Total Cost	\$180,648.00

36,720

IF administration costs are shown to be as a percentage of costs, list both:

1) Total on which project administration is based (i.e. total project costs, total construction costs, ect.):

Percentage:	
Percentage Based on:	Total Project Cost

Total Cost \$

2) Discuss below how the percentage was determined (i.e. flat rate, based on prior experiencts, ect.)

Based on prior experience.

Other Funding Sources

Leveraged Caltrans Funds

See Sheet Row (d) Construction/Implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for **Leveraged and Match Funds**

Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match

(~)			_
(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	Total Cost	\$ 45,450
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$ -

Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are

(b) leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.

(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$ -
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds		
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost	\$ -

(ii) City of Rohnert Park Match Funds Total Cost \$ Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds (d)

` '	, ,		_
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost	\$ -
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$ -
(iii)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost	\$ -
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding	<u>-</u>	

Proposition 1E Funding

(a)

(i)

(f)

		_	
Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost	\$ 82,928	
Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFN	Total Cost	\$ 97,720	

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins - Sonoma County Water Agency and Team Partners Supplemental Details Required for: Row (b) Land Purchase Easement (If Applicable)

1) Is the total cost in Row (b) for the purchase of land or an easement to use the land?

Sonoma County Water Agency (Applicant) has access easement to Copeland Creek. Title to the 53 acre parcel is to be conveyed to the City of Rohnert Park (project partner) under the terms of an existing agreement with private partner UD LLC. UD LLC is also the property owner of 75 acres of land for which it will grant an easement for public access trails.

2) If land purchase will be included in the funding match, is it a proposed acquisition or is the land already owned by the applicant or partner agency/organization?

Sonoma County Water Agency (Applicant) has access easement to Copeland Creek. Title to the 53 acre parcel is to be conveyed to the City of Rohnert Park (project partner) under the terms of an existing agreement with private partner UD LLC. UD LLC is also the property owner of 75 acres of land for which it will grant an easement for public access trails.

3) If land is already owned by applicant or partner agency/organization, when was the land purchased?

Copeland Creek access easement aquired in 1960's; Title to the 53 acre parcel is to be conveyed to the City of Rohnert Park (project partner) under the terms of an existing agreement with private partner UD LLC.

4) What was the Purchase Price?

\$2,570,000.00

		Value per	
Land Value	Acres	Acre	Total
Anderson Property	53	\$20,000	\$1,060,000
Anderson Property	75	\$20,000	\$1,500,000
Other Costs:	Each	Per Unit	
Legal, Escrow, Title	2	\$5,000	\$10,000
Total		•	\$2,570,000

Other Funding Sources

See Sheet Row (d) Construction/Implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for Leveraged and Match Funds

Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged funds and are not counted

(a)	toward the match.
/i\	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds

Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds **Total Cost** (ii) nd are

	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds	are leveraged fund	is an
(b)	not counted toward the match.		
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds	Total Cost	\$
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds		
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost	\$

(0)	reactar transportation Emiliancement water rands
(i)	US DOT FHA
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds

(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds	_	
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost	\$
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$
/iii\	Sonoma State University	Total Cost	Ċ

(111)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost	Ş	1,837
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding			
(f)	Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost	\$	-
	Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins	Total Cost	\$	-

11,938

Supplemental Details Required for: Row (c) Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental Documentation

1) List hourly wage paid by discipline, number of hours, and total cost for the particular item (i.e. 60% design, final design, engineering field investigations, preparation of CEQA documentation etc.)

Stage (i.e planning, Design*, etc.)	Discipline	Hourly Wage (\$/hr)	Number of Hours	Total	
90% Design	Principal Engineer	\$185	40	\$7,400	
	Civil/Electrical Engineer	\$132	80	\$10,560	
	Drafting	\$106	80	\$8,480	
	Technical Writing	\$114	80	\$9,120	
	Consultant - Principal Engineer	\$310	40	\$12,400	
	Consultant - Project Manager	\$267	140	\$37,380	
	Consultant - Engineer	\$175	200	\$35,000	
	Consultant-Geotechncial Engineer	\$150	60	\$9,000	\$129,340
90% CEQA Documentation	Civil/Electrical Engineer	\$132	24	\$3,168	
and Permitting - Complete	Principal Environmental Specialist	\$132	40	\$5,280	
Amended EIR	Senior Environmental Specialist	\$106	60	\$6,360	
	Environmental Specialist	\$114	100	\$11,400	
	Consultant - Senior Env Speicalist	\$300	120	\$36,000	
	Consultant - Env Speicalist	\$150	200	\$30,000	\$92,208
Permiting/CEQA for habitat restoration	Principal Environmental Specialist	\$146	40	\$5,840	
	Senior Environmental Specialist	\$118	82	\$9,676	
	Environmental Specialist	\$105	180	\$18,898	\$34,414
ROW	Land Surveyor	\$134	40	\$5,360	
	County Counsel	\$175	100	\$17,500	
	Right-of-Way Agent	\$118	180	\$21,240	\$44,100
			Total	\$300,062	\$300,062

²⁾ IF contingency values are used in estimate, provide an explanation for the rationale used to determine the contingency percentage:

Percentage	Explanation
	The 90% design is the final, un-stamped, submittal. Complete plans and specifications are prepared, and a detailed itemized cost estimate is included.
100% (final) Design	The 100% design is the design package that will be advertised for project award for construction/implementation of project. The package consists of the complete, signed, and "As-Advertised" plans and specifications.

Other Funding Sources

See Sheet Row (d) Construction/Implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for Leveraged and Match Funds

See Sheet Row (a) Construction/Implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for Leveraged and Match Funds			
	Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged		
(a)	funds and are not counted toward the match.		
(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	Total Cost \$	708,292
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds	Total Cost \$	100,080
(b)	are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.		
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds	Total Cost \$	-
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost \$	-
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds	-	
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost \$	69,580
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost \$	81,000
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds		
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost \$	151,130
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost \$	-
(iii)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost \$	-
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding		
(f)	Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost \$	-
	Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins	Total Cost \$	300,062

Supplemental Details Required for: Row (d) Construction/Implementation

Note: Do not show any construction/implementation contingency costs in this category.

1) List the construction costs below. Construction cost estimate* should include quantity of materials used, unit costs, number of units, and, if possible, the separate costs for materials, equipment, and labor.

	Materials					
Materials Used	Unit Costs (\$)	Number of Units	Total (\$)			
Native Plants	\$4.50	2700	\$12,150			
Planting Hardware	\$3.00	2700	\$8,100			
Poison Oak Suite	\$10.00	40	\$400			
		Total	\$20,650			

	Equipment					
Equipment Used	d Costs (\$) Number (pment Used Costs (\$) Number of Units		Total (\$)	
Chipper	\$300.00	8	\$2,400			
Dump Trucks (2)	\$175.00	4	\$700			
Chain Saws	\$8.00	38	\$300			
		Total	\$3,400			

	Labor					
Discipline	Hourly Wage by discipline (\$)	Number of hours	Total (\$)			
Landscape Labor Crew (10)	\$24.15	6720	\$162,288			
Supervisor (1)	\$46.00	672	\$30,912			
Lead Maintenance Worker	\$107.00	80	\$8,560			
		Total	\$201,760			

2) Does the project have any implementation costs (Yes/No)?

Yes

2a) If yes, provide details to support the implementation costs included in Row (d)

Invasive Plants Disposal Fees - \$100/dump truck load x 20 loads = \$2,000			
		Costs	\$2,000
Proposition 1E Funds: Construct three stormwater detention basins (see cost estimate below).			
		Costs	\$5,322,500
	2)	Total Cost	¢5 550 210

Other Funding Sources

See Below for Additional Backup Documentation for Leveraged and Match Funds

Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.

(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	Total Cost	\$246,258
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$149,920
(b)	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leverage toward the match.	ged funds and are not o	counted
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds	Total Cost	\$308,860
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$100,080
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds		
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost	\$545,541
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost \$	-
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds		
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost	\$490,600
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$641,220
(iii)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost \$	-
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding		
(f)	Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost	\$227,810
	Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins	Total Cost \$	-

Stormwater Detention Basins Costs

	North Basin	Quantity	Unit Price	Unit	Price	Tota	al
GRAD	ING						
	ROUGH GRADING	150,000	CY	\$	3.00	\$	450,000
	STRUCTURAL FILL -BERM CONSTRUCTION	20,000	CY	\$	3.50	\$	70,000
	EXPORT	98,000	CY	\$	10.00	\$	980,000
BASIN	STRUCTURES						
	ENTRANCE WEIR	45	CY	\$	800.00	\$	36,000
	EMERGENCY SPILLWAY	2,600	SF	\$	15.00	\$	39,000
	CUT -OFF WALLS	700	LF	\$	75.00	\$	52,500
OUTL	ET STRUCTURES						
	33" STORM DRAIN	800	LF	\$	75.00	\$	60,000
	STORM DRAIN MANHOLES	3	EA	\$	5,000.00	\$	15,000
	INLET STRUCTURE/TRASH RACK	1	EA	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000
	OUTLET STRUCTURE	1	EA	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000
EROSI	ON/SLOPE PROTECTION						
	SEDIMENT POND	27,000	SF	\$	5.00	\$	135,000
	LOW FLOW CHANNEL	1,200	LF	\$	25.00	\$	30,000
	RIP RAP	2,000	TON	\$	50.00	\$	100,000
ROAD	WORK						
	12" - 12" AB ACCESS ROAD MAINT. ROAD/RAMP	3,000	LF	\$	20.00	\$	60,000
LAND	SCAPING						
	INTERIOR LANDSCAPING (HYDROSEED)	275,000	SF	\$	0.05	\$	13,750
	FENCING	2,000	LF	\$	18.00	\$	36,000
SUBT	OTAL NORTH BASIN				•	\$	2,112,250

Stormwater Detention Basins Costs

South Basin

GRADING	Quantity	Unit Price	Unit	Price	Tota	al
ROUGH GRADING	65,000	CY	\$	3.00	\$	195,000
STRUCTURAL FILL -BERM CONSTRUCTION	35,000	CY	\$	3.50	\$	122,500
EXPORT	10,000	CY	\$	10.00	\$	100,000
BASIN STRUCTURES						
ENTRANCE WEIR	45	CY	\$	800.00	\$	36,000
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY	3,500	SF	\$	15.00	\$	52,500
CUT -OFF WALLS	900	LF	\$	75.00	\$	67,500
OUTLET STRUCTURES						
33" STORM DRAIN	450	LF	\$	75.00	\$	33,750
STORM DRAIN MANHOLES	2	EA	\$	5,000.00	\$	10,000
INLET STRUCTURE/TRASH RACK	1	EA	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000
OUTLET STRUCTURE	1	EA	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000
EROSION/SLOPE PROTECTION						
SEDIMENT POND	17,000	SF	\$	5.00	\$	85,000
LOW FLOW CHANNEL	800	LF	\$	25.00	\$	20,000
RIP RAP	2,000	TON	\$	50.00	\$	100,000
ROADWORK						
12" - 12" AB ACCESS ROAD MAINT. ROAD/RAMP	3,000	LF	\$	20.00	\$	60,000
LANDSCAPING						
INTERIOR LANDSCAPING (HYDROSEED)	200,000	SF	\$	0.05	\$	10,000
FENCING	2,500	LF	\$	18.00	\$	45,000
SUBTOTAL SOUTH BASIN					\$	972,250

Stormwater Detention Basins Costs East Basin

GRADING	Quantity	Unit Price		Tot	al
ROUGH GRADING	170,000	CY	\$ 3.00	\$	510,000
STRUCTURAL FILL -BERM CONSTRUCTION	20,000	CY	\$ 3.50	\$	70,000
EXPORT	100,000	CY	\$ 10.00	\$	1,000,000
BASIN STRUCTURES					
ENTRANCE WEIR	50	CY	\$ 800.00	\$	40,000
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY	2,700	SF	\$ 15.00	\$	40,500
CUT -OFF WALLS	800	LF	\$ 75.00	\$	60,000
OUTLET STRUCTURES					
33" STORM DRAIN	800	LF	\$ 75.00	\$	60,000
STORM DRAIN MANHOLES	3	EA	\$ 5,000.00	\$	15,000
INLET STRUCTURE/TRASH RACK	1	EA	\$ 25,000.00	\$	25,000
OUTLET STRUCTURE	1	EA	\$ 10,000.00	\$	10,000
EROSION/SLOPE PROTECTION					
SEDIMENT POND	30,000	SF	\$ 5.00	\$	150,000
LOW FLOW CHANNEL	1,500	LF	\$ 25.00	\$	37,500
RIP RAP	2,000	TON	\$ 50.00	\$	100,000
ROADWORK					
12" - 12" AB ACCESS ROAD MAINT. ROAD/RAMP	3,000	LF	\$ 20.00	\$	60,000
LANDSCAPING					
INTERIOR LANDSCAPING (HYDROSEED)	300,000	SF	\$ 0.05	\$	15,000

	FENCING	2,500	LF	\$ 18.00	\$ 45,0	000
SUBT	OTAL EAST BASIN				\$ 2,238,0	000

TOTAL 3 BASINS \$ 5,322,500

Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds

Habitat Enhancement and Restoration Implementation and Regional Trail Development Linking Open Space Resources and Urban Areas

Conservation Corps North Bay to Assist City of Rohnert Park/Sonoma County Water Agency with Implementation

Soft Costs	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
Design and NEPA - City of Rohnert Park - City Engineer	600	Hrs	\$ 132	\$79,200.00
NEPA - City of Rohnert Park - Consultant	478	Hrs	\$ 150	\$71,650.50
Soft Costs Subtotal				\$150,850.50
Construction				
Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000.00
Mobilization & project signs	1	LS	\$22,000	\$22,000.00
Clearing and grubbing; removal of non-native species, replanting	1	LS	\$53,600	\$53,600.00
Replace 5' wide concrete sidewalk with asphalt path - Copeland Creek	4806	LF	\$94.66	\$454,941
SUBTOTAL				\$545,541
Contingency				\$54,554
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION				\$600,095
TOTAL PROJECT				\$750.945

Leveraged Funds: Prop 84 NC IRWMP - Implmentation Round1; Habitat Restoration - Sedimentation Basins, 30,60,90% Detention Basins Design/Ceqa Documents

Conservation Corps North Bay to Assist Sonoma County Water Agency with Implementation

	Grant Request	-	Cost Share	To	otal Project
Admin	\$ 45,450	\$	-	\$	45,450
Design/Env/Permit/R					
OW	\$ 708,292	\$	=	\$	708,292
Construction	\$ 246,258	\$	250,000	\$	496,258
Inspection	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Contingency	\$ -	\$	83,333	\$	83,333
Total	\$ 1,000,000	\$	333,333	\$	1,333,333

Leveraged Funds: Caltrans/CA Natural Resources Agency - CA Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation - Resource Lands; Habitat Restoration

Conservation Corps North Bay to Assist Sonoma County Water Agency

with Implementation

	Gra	ant Request	C	ost Share	Tot	tal Project
Admin	\$	36,720			\$	36,720
Design						
Construction	\$	308,860	\$	100,080	\$	408,940
Inspection						
Contingency						
Total	\$	345,580	\$	100,080	\$	445,660

Detail for: Leveraged Funds: Caltrans/CA Natural Resources Agency - CA Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation - Resource Lands; Habitat Restoration Sonoma County Water Agency - Lead Agency Budget

Conservation Corps North Bay to Assist Sonoma County Water							Local	
Agency with Implementation	Unit Cost	Quantity		Units	С	altrans	Match	TOTAL
Senior Environemtnal Sepcialist	\$ 118		100	Hours	\$	11,800		\$11,800
Water Agency Coordinator	\$ 171		160	Hours	\$	13,680	\$ 13,680	\$27,360
Lead Maintenance Worker	\$ 64		160	Hours	\$	10,240		\$10,240
Maintenance Crew (4) w/equipment	\$ 54		1600	Crew-Hours			\$ 86,400	\$86,400
Travel	\$0.50		2000	Miles	\$	1,000		\$1,000
Estimated Water Agency Labor-Equip Travel Budget					\$	36,720	\$100,080	\$136,800

CONSULTANT COSTS - CONSERVATION CORPS NORTH BAY

			I	Local
PERSONNEL EXPENSES	Unit Price	Quantity Units	Caltrans M	Match TOTAL
Labor (10 person crew and 1 supervisor @ \$2,300/day x 84 days)	\$2,300.00	84 Days	\$193,200	\$193,200
Total Personal Services			\$193,200	\$193,200
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Disposal Fees:	\$100.00	10 trips	\$1,000	\$1,000
Mileage: (40 miles round-trip x 84 times)	\$0.50	3,360 miles	\$1,680	\$6,500

Consumables:

TOTAL BUDGET			\$345,580	\$100,080	\$445,660
TOTAL CONSULTANT BUDGET			\$308,860	\$0	\$308,860
Total Operating Expenses			\$115,660	\$0	\$115,660
Planting Hardware (14,560 at \$3.00 average)	\$3.00	14,560 hardware	\$43,680		\$43,680
Native Plants (14,560 at \$4.50 average)	\$4.50	14,560 plants	\$65,520		\$65,520
Planting Supplies:					
Chipper	\$45.00	84 Days	\$3,780		\$3,780
Chains, Blades, Posion Oak Suits, Extra vehicles, Dump Truck,					

Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District Match Funds

Phases 1, 2, 3

Regional Trail Development Linking Open Space Resources, Sonoma County Crane Creek Regional Park, and Urban Areas

	Estin	nated Cost	Gran	it Request
Environmental	\$	32,000	\$	32,000
Design/Engineering	\$	57,690	\$	57,690
Plan Review/Permits	\$	61,440	\$	61,440
Construction	\$	490,600	\$	490,600
Construction Management	\$	69,540	\$	69,540
Total	\$	711,270	\$	711,270

Matching Funds - Conservation Easement	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Total	
City of Rohnert Park	2.39	acres	\$	5,000	\$	11,950
Sonoma State University	0.37	acres	\$	5,000	\$	1,850
TOTAL					\$	13,800

Matching Funds - City of Rohnert Park - Service Road/Trail on Open Sp	Estimat	ed Cost	Matc	h Funds
Environmental - Complete	\$	-	\$	-
Design/Engineering - Complete	\$	-	\$	=
Plan Review/Permits - Complete	\$	-	\$	=
Construction	\$	641,220	\$	641,220
Construction Management	\$	64,122	\$	64,122
Total	\$	705,342	\$	705,342

^{***}For Information Purposes Only - Not included as match funds

Operation and Maintenance Costs	Asset	Averge Useful Life (yrs)	Year 1 Cost	Total O&M over Useful Life of Asset (3% increas/yr)
Operation and Maintenance Costs	Service Road thru	(915)	rear 1 Cost	ilicreas/yr)
	Service Road triru			
City of Rohnert Park	open space	15	\$ 2,000	\$ 35,198
Sonoma County Regional Parks - requires Board approval	Regional Trail	20	\$ 23,406	\$ 605,513
Sonoma State University	Copeland Creek Trail	15	\$ 1,200	\$ 21,119
	Petaluma Hill Road			
Sonoma State University	Trail Crossing	20	\$ 2,500	\$ 64,676
TOTAL				\$ 726,506

Supplemental Details Required for: Row (e) Environmental Compliance/Mitigation/Enhancement

1) Cost estimate of work should be in same format as for Construction/Implementation.

	Materials Used	Total (\$)
Г		
		\$0.00

Equipment						
Equipment Used	Total (\$)					
	\$0.00					

Discipline	Discipline Hourly Wage by discipline (\$)		Number of hours	Total (\$)
Senior Environmental Specialist	\$	106	80	\$8,480
Consultant - Senior Env Speicalist	\$	300	60	\$18,000
Consultant - Env Speicalist	\$	150	80	\$12,000
			Total	\$38,480.00

2)	Total Cost	\$38,480.00

2) Provide any details to support Environmental Compliance/Mitigation/Enhancement costs shown in Row (e):

Other Funding Sources

Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.

(a)	leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.		
(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	Total Cost \$	-]
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost \$	_

Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those

<u> </u>	
(b) funds are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the mate	:h

(ii) Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds Total Cost \$	(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds	Total Cost	\$ -
	(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$ -

(c) **Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds**

	· ·		
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost	\$ -
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$ -

oma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds (d)

(u)	Solionia County Agricultural Freservation and Open Space District (SCA	r OSD/ Water r unus	
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost	\$ -
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$ -
(iii)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost	\$ -

(e)

Proposition 1E Funding		
Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost	\$ -
Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins	Total Cost	\$38,480

(f)

Supplemental Details Required for: Row (f) Construction Administration

If estimate for construction administration in Row (f) will be based on expected hours of effort:

1) List the costs to administer and manage construction of the project:

	Discipline	Hours	Uni	t Cost (\$)	Equipment Costs (\$)	Total Costs (\$)
	Water Agency Coordidnator	200	\$	171		\$34,200
	Lead Maintenance Worker	240	\$	107		\$25,680
	Technical Writing Specialist	1,400	\$	104		\$145,600
,	Engineering Technician 3 (2)	2,100	\$	110		\$231,000
	Principal Engineer	100	\$	185		\$18,500
	Civil/Electrical Engineer	200	\$	132		\$26,400
	Consultant - Geotechnical	276	\$	150		\$41,470
S	Senior Environmental Specialist	80	\$	106		\$8,480
	Consultant Labor Compliance	120	\$	125		\$15,000
					Total	\$546,330

Note: Water Agency procures a Labor Compliance Consultant with a state-approved program to implement all aspects of labor compliance including prevailing wages.

NA

2) Discuss method used to determine cost to adminster and manage construction of	pro	iect:

Based on prior experience on similar projects.	
--	--

If	nercentage	Λf	construction	costs is	used for	Row	ſf	١
11	percentage	υı	consu action	CUSUS IS	uscu ivi	ILOW	11	

		_	
4) Discuss below how the percentage wa	s determined (i.e. flat ra	ate based on prior	experiencts ect

Other Funding Sources

3) Indicate the percentage used:

See Sheet Row (d) Construction/Implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for Leveraged and Match Funds Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged

(a)	funds and are not counted toward the match.	
(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost \$ -
	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma	County Water Agency Match to those fund
(b)	leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.	
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds	
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost \$ -
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAP	POSD) Match Funds
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost \$69,540
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost \$64,122
(iii)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost \$ -
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding	
(f)	Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost \$68,360

Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins

Total Cost

\$477,970

Supplemental Details Required for: Row (g) Other Costs

1) Other costs include any legal service require to support project, licenses and permits, monitoring and assessment required during construction/initial implementation of project. Provide detailed information and specific costs below.

Note: Do not include any monitoring and assessment costs for efforts required after project construction is complete.

Other Costs - Project Performance Monitoring/Data Management

Tasks included revised monitoring plan, photo documentation and project closeout. All tasks occur before project construction is complete.

Discipline	Hourly Wage (\$/hr)	Number of Hours	Total
Principal Engineer	\$185	30	\$5,550
Civil/Electrical Engineer	\$132	40	\$5,280
Drafting	\$106	40	\$4,240
Technical Writing	\$114	40	\$4,560
Consultant - Principal Engineer	\$310	30	\$9,300
Consultant - Project Manager	\$267	40	\$10,680
Consultant - Engineer	\$175	80	\$14,000
Principal Environmental Specialist	\$132	24	\$3,168
Senior Environmental Specialist	\$106	40	\$4,240
Environmental Specialist	\$114	60	\$6,840
Consultant - Senior Env Speicalist	\$300	60	\$18,000
Consultant - Env Speicalist	\$150	100	\$15,000
		Total	\$100,858

Other Funding Sources

Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are

(a)	leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.	
(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost \$ -
	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sor	noma County Water Agency Match
(b)	funds are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.	
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds	
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost \$ -
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost \$ -
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost \$ -
(iii)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost \$ -
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding	
(f)	Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost \$25,215
	Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins	Total Cost \$25,215

Supplemental Details Required for: Row (h) Construction/Implementation Contingency

	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match. Leveraged Caltrans Funds Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$				
	1) Specify percentage used for this cost: 10% \$ 5,322,500 \$ 532,250				
	2) Provide reason for using the percentage used:				
	Based on prior experience for projects of similar size and at similar point in design.				
	Other Funding Sources				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ınds			
(a)	and are not counted toward the match.				
(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds Total Cost \$				
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds Total Cost \$	83,33			
	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are	е			
(b)	leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.				
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds Total Cost \$				
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds Total Cost \$				
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds				
(i)		554,55			
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds Total Cost \$				
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds				

Total Cost Total Cost

Total Cost

Total Cost

Total Cost

(i)

(ii)

(iii)

(e)

(f)

SCAPOSD

City of Rohnert Park Match Funds

Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration

Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins

Sonoma State University

Proposition 1E Funding

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins - Sonoma County Water Agency and Team Partners

	Table 6 - Total Project Budget - All Project Elements								
	Budget Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		
		Non-State Share* (Funding Match)	Requested Grant Funding	Total This field will fill automatically	% Funding Match This field will fill automatically	Other Leveraged State Funds Being Used	Total Project Cost including Other Leveraged State Funding		
(a)	Direct Project Administration Costs	\$180,648		\$180,648	2%	\$82,170	\$262,818		
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$2,583,775		\$2,583,775	22%	\$0	\$2,583,775		
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/ Environmental Documentation	\$506,951	\$94,821	\$601,772	4%	\$808,372	\$1,410,144		
(d)	Construction/Implementation	\$1,905,171	\$5,322,500	\$7,227,671	16%	\$805,118	\$8,032,789		
(e)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	\$38,480		\$38,480	0%	\$0	\$38,480		
(f)	Construction Administration	\$679,992		\$679,992	6%	\$0	\$679,992		
(g)	Other Costs - Project Performance Monitoring/Data Management	\$50,429	\$50,429	\$100,858	0%	\$0	\$100,858		
(h)	Construction/Implementation Contingency	\$54,554	\$532,250	\$586,804	0%	\$83,333	\$670,137		
(i)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$12,000,000	50%	\$1,778,993	\$13,778,993		

^{*}List sources of funding: Use as much space as required.

Other Funding Sources

See Sheet Row (d) Construction/Implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for Leveraged and Match Funds

	See Sheet Now (u) construction, implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for Leve	ragea ana maten ra	iius
(a)	Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those	e funds are leverage	d funds and are not counted toward the match.
(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	Total Cost	\$1,000,000 Total
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$333,333 \$1,333,333
(b)	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency M	Match to those funds	are leveraged funds and are not counted toward
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds	Total Cost	\$345,580
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds	Total Cost	\$100,080 \$445,660 \$1,778,993
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds		
(i)	US DOT FHA	Total Cost	\$669,675
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$81,000 \$750,675
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds		
(i)	SCAPOSD	Total Cost	\$711,270
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds	Total Cost	\$717,280
(iii)	Sonoma State University	Total Cost	\$1,837
(iv)	Sonoma County Regional Parks	Total Cost	-
(v)	Sonoma County Public Works and Transportation Department	Total Cost	\$ - \$1,430,387
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding		
(f)	Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration	Total Cost	\$404,313
	Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins	Total Cost	\$844,625 \$1,248,938
	Land Value		\$ 2,570,000 \$ 2,570,000
		Prop 1E Match	\$6,000,000

Leveraged Funds

\$1,778,993

Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds

	Table 6 - Total Project Budget							
	Budget Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)			
		Non-State Share* (Funding Match)	Requested Grant Funding	Total This field will fill automatically	% Funding Match This field will fill automatically			
(a)	Direct Project Administration Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%			
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%			
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/ Environmental Documentation	\$81,000	\$69,580	\$150,580	11%			
(d)	Construction/Implementation	\$0	\$545,541	\$545,541	0%			
(e)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%			
(f)	Construction Administration	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%			
(g)	Other Costs - Project Performance Monitoring/Data Management	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%			
(h)	Construction/Implementation Contingency	\$0	\$54,554	\$54,554	0%			
(i)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$81,000	\$669,675	\$750,675	11%			

Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds

	Table 6 - Total Project Budget						
	Budget Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
		Non-State	Requested	Total	% Funding		
		Share*	Grant	This field	Match		
		(Funding	Funding	will fill	This field		
		Match)		automatically	will fill		
					automatically		
(a)	Direct Project Administration Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%		
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$13,775	\$0	\$13,775	1%		
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/ Environmental Documentation	\$0	\$151,130	\$151,130	0%		
(d)	Construction/Implementation	\$641,220	\$490,600	\$1,131,820	45%		
(e)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%		
(f)	Construction Administration	\$64,122	\$69,540	\$133,662	4%		
(g)	Other Costs - Project Performance Monitoring/Data Management	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%		
(h)	Construction/Implementation Contingency	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%		
(i)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$719,117	\$711,270	\$1,430,387	50%		

Proposition 1E Funding

Habitat Restoration

	Table 6 - Total Project Budget										
	Budget Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)						
		Non-State	Requested	Total	% Funding						
		Share*	Grant	This field	Match						
		(Funding	Funding	will fill	This field						
		Match)		automatically	will fill						
					automatically						
(a)	Direct Project Administration Costs	\$82,928	\$0	\$82,928	19%						
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%						
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental Documentation	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%						
(d)	Construction/Implementation	\$227,810	\$0	\$227,810	53%						
(e)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%						
(f)	Construction Administration	\$68,360	\$0	\$68,360	16%						
(g)	Other Costs - Project Performance Monitoring/Data Management	\$25,215	\$25,215	\$50,429	6%						
(h)	Construction/Implementation Contingency	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%						
(i)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$404,313	\$25,215	\$429,527	94%						

Proposition 1E Funding

Detention and Recharge Basins

	Table 6 - Total Project Budget											
	Budget Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)							
		Non-State	Requested	Total	% Funding							
		Share*	Grant	This field	Match							
		(Funding	Funding	will fill	This field							
		Match)		automatically	will fill							
					automatically							
(a)	Direct Project Administration Costs	\$97,720	\$0	\$97,720	1%							
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$2,570,000	\$0	\$2,570,000	27%							
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/ Environmental Documentation	\$205,241	\$94,821	\$300,062	2%							
(d)	Construction/Implementation	\$0	\$5,322,500	\$5,322,500	0%							
(e)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	\$38,480	\$0	\$38,480	0%							
(f)	Construction Administration	\$477,970	\$0	\$477,970	5%							
(g)	Other Costs - Project Performance Monitoring/Data Management	\$25,215	\$25,215	\$50,429	0%							
(h)	Construction/Implementation Contingency	\$0	\$532,250	\$532,250	0%							
(i)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$3,414,625	\$5,974,786	\$9,389,411	36%							

		1	Table 6 A - Bu	rn Rate						
	Budget Category	(a)	(b)	(c)		Burn	Rate Based on So	chedule		
		Non-State Share* (Funding Match)	Requested Grant Funding	Total This field will fill automatically	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
(a)	Direct Project Administration Costs	\$180,648		\$180,648	\$24,086	\$48,173	\$48,173	\$48,173	\$12,043	\$
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$2,583,775		\$2,583,775			\$2,571,837	\$11,938		\$2,5
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/ Environmental Documentation	\$506,951	\$94,821	\$601,772	\$150,855	\$150,855	\$300,062			\$2,5
(d)	Construction/Implementation	\$1,905,171	\$5,322,500	\$7,227,671		\$575,312	\$952,586	\$5,699,773		\$7,2
(e)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement	\$38,480	\$0	\$38,480				\$38,480		4.,.
(f)	Construction Administration	\$679,992	\$0	\$679,992		\$54,399	\$88,399	\$537,193		\$6
(g)	Other Costs - Project Performance Monitoring/Data Management	\$50,429	\$50,429	\$100,858				\$80,686	\$20,172	\$1
(h)	Construction/Implementation Contingency	\$54,554	\$532,250	\$586,804		\$54,554		\$532,250		\$5
(i)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$12,000,000	\$174,941	\$883,293	\$3,961,056	\$6,948,494	\$32,215	\$12,0
ist so	ources of funding: Use as much space as required.									
	Other Funding Sources See Sheet Row (d) Construction/Implementation for Addition					12 27%	12 27%	12 27%	7%	
))	Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma Control Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds	ounty water Ag	gency Match to t	Total Cos		_	intea towara tne			
)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds			Total Cos		\$1,333,333				
b) Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged funds and are not										
)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds			Total Cost) \$445,660	\$1,778,993			
(c) Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds (i) US DOT FHA Total Cost \$669,675										

(ii) City of Rohnert Park Match Funds

Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds (d)

(i) SCAPOSD

City of Rohnert Park Match Funds (ii)

(iii) Sonoma State University

(iv) Sonoma County Regional Parks

(v) Sonoma County Public Works and Transportation Department

Proposition 1E Funding (e)

(f) Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration

> Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins Land Value

Total Cost \$404,313 \$844,625 \$1,248,938 **Total Cost**

\$ 2,570,000 \$ 2,570,000 Prop 1E Match \$6,000,000 Leveraged Funds \$1,778,993

\$81,000 \$750,675

- \$1,430,387

\$711,270

\$717,280

\$1,837

Total Cost

Total Cost

Total Cost

Total Cost

Total Cost \$

Total Cost \$

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins - Sonoma County Water Agency and Team Partners

	Table 7 - Summary Budget											
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)					
	Project Elements	Non-State Share* (Funding Match)	Requested Proposition 1E Grant Funding	Total This field will fill automatically	% Funding Match This field will fill automatically	Other Leveraged State Funds Being Used	Total Project Cost including Other Leveraged State Funding					
(a)	Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Leveraged Funds - Habitat Restoration, Sediment Removal, 90% Design of Detention Basins	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,333,333	\$1,333,333					
(b)	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Leveraged Funds Habitat Restoration, Sediment Removal	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$445,660	\$445,660					
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds - Habitat Enhancement and Restoration Implementation and Regional Trail Development Linking Open Space Resources and Urban Areas	\$750,675	\$0	\$750,675	6%		\$750,675					
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District Match Funds, Sonoma County Regional Parks Match Funds - Regional Trail Development Linking Open Space Resources and Urban Areas	\$1,430,387	\$0	\$1,430,387	12%		\$1,430,387					
(e)	Proposition 1E SWFM Habitat Restoration	\$404,313	\$25,215	\$429,527	3%		\$429,527					
(f)	Proposition 1E SWFM Final Design and Detention Basin Construction	\$3,414,625	\$5,974,786	\$9,389,411	28%		\$9,389,411					
(g)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$12,000,000	50%	\$1,778,993	\$13,778,993					
*List s	*List sources of funding:											

Other Funding Sources

See Sheet Row (d) Construction/Implementation for Additional Backup Documentation for Leveraged and Match Funds

(a) Proposition 84 Implementation Round 1Funds and Sonoma County Water Agency Match to those funds are leveraged funds and are not counted toward the match.

(i)	Leveraged Proposition 84 Funds \$	1,000,000			
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds \$	333,333			
(b)	Caltrans Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Funds and Sonoma County Water Agen	cy Match to	those	funds are	e leveraged funds and are not counted toward
(i)	Leveraged Caltrans Funds \$	345,580			
(ii)	Leveraged Sonoma County Water Agency Match Funds \$	100,080			
(c)	Federal Transportation Enhancement Match Funds				
(i)	US DOT FHA \$	669,675			
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds \$	81,000			
(d)	Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District (SCAPOSD) Match Funds				
(i)	SCAPOSD \$	711,270			For Information Purposes - not included in grant or in match
(ii)	City of Rohnert Park Match Funds \$	717,280	\$	35,198	O&M Useful life - after Project Implementation - not included in
					grant or as match
(iii)	Sonoma State University \$	1,837	\$	21,119	
(iv)	Sonoma County Regional Parks \$	· -	\$	605,513	
(v)	Sonoma County Public Works and Transportation Department \$	_	\$	64,676	
(.,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$	726,506	•
(e)	Proposition 1E Funding		•	0,0 0 0	
(f)	Project Team Match Funds - Habitat Restoration \$	404.313	48%		
(-)	Project Team Match Funds - Final Design & Construct SWFM Basins \$				
		1,248,938	0070		
	.	1,2 10,750			
	Land Value \$	2,570,000			

Note: Because benefits assoicated with all project elements except the storm water detention basins are either unquantifiable or expected to be small, only costs and benefits associated with the stormwater detention basins have been tabulated.

Tables 10 and 14 – Annual Cost of Flood Damage Reduction Project/Water Supply Project (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)										
	Initial Costs	0 "	154 : 4	0 1		,				
YEAR	(a)	(b)	(c)	nance Costs (d)	(0)	/ f \	(a)	(h)	/i\	
TEAR	(a)	(D)	(C)	(u)	(e)	(f)	(g)		(i)	
	Total Project						Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs	
	Budget						Costs		(g) x (h)	
	(row (i), Total						(a) +			
	column)	Admin	Operation	Maintenance	Replacement	Other	(b)+(f)			
2009			Co.d/				\$0	1.000	\$0	
2010			Sed/ channel mods	Detention Basins	Veg Replacement		\$0	0.943	\$0	
2011		\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000		-		\$52,000	0.89	\$46,280	
2012	\$ 938,941	\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000				\$990,941	0.84	\$832,390	
2013	\$ 2,816,823	\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000				\$2,868,823	0.792	\$2,272,108	
2014	\$ 5,633,646	\$ 2,000	\$ 50,000				\$5,685,646	0.747	\$4,247,178	
2015		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.705	\$58,656	
2016		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.665	\$55,328	
2017		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.627	\$52,166	
2018		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.592	\$49,254	
2019		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.558	\$58,032	
2020		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.527	\$43,846	
2021		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.497	\$41,350	
2022		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.469	\$39,021	
2023		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.442	\$36,774	
2024		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.417	\$34,694	
2025		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.394	\$32,781	
2026		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.371	\$30,867	
2027		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.35	\$29,120	
2028		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.331	\$27,539	
2029		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.312	\$32,448	
2030		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.294	\$24,461	
2031		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.278	\$23,130	
2032		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.262	\$21,798	
2033		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.247	\$20,550	
2034		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.233	\$19,386	
2035		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.22	\$18,304	
2036		\$ 3,200					\$83,200	0.207	\$17,222	
2037		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.196	\$16,307	
2038		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	ф 00.000		\$83,200	0.185	\$15,392	
2039		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.174	\$18,096	
2040		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.164	\$13,645	
2041		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.155	\$12,896	
2042		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.146	\$12,147	
2043		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000 \$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.138	\$11,482	
2044		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000 \$ 50,000				\$83,200	0.13 0.123	\$10,816	
2045	ļ	\$ 3,200 \$ 3,200					\$83,200		\$10,234	
2046		\$ 3,200 \$ 3,200	\$ 50,000 \$ 50,000	\$ 30,000 \$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.116 0.109	\$9,651	
2047		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200 \$83,200	0.109	\$9,069 \$8,570	
2049		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000			0.103		
2043		Ψ +,000	φ 50,000	ψ 30,000	φ 20,000		\$104,000	บ.บฮา	\$10,088	

	Tables 1	10 and 14 -		ost of Flood Da I costs should	•	•	Water Supply	y Project			
	Initial Costs	Operations	and Mainte	nance Costs							
YEAR	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)		
	Total Project						Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs		
	Budget						Costs		(g) x (h)		
	(row (i), Total column)	Admin	Operation	Maintenance	Replacement	Other	(a) + (b)+(f)				
2050		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.092	\$7,654		
2051		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.087	\$7,238		
2052		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.082	\$6,822		
2053		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.077	\$6,406		
2054		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.073	\$6,074		
2055		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.069	\$5,741		
2056		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.065	\$5,408		
2057		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.061	\$5,075		
2058		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.058	\$4,826		
2059		\$ 4,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000		\$104,000	0.054	\$5,616		
2060		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.051	\$4,243		
2061		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.048	\$3,994		
2062		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.046	\$3,827		
2063		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.043	\$3,578		
2064		\$ 3,200	\$ 50,000	\$ 30,000			\$83,200	0.041	\$3,411		
Project Life									\$8,402,992		

From FRAM Model:

	Table 12 – Present Value of Expected Annual Damage Benefit	s Project	
(a)	Expected Annual Damage Without Project		\$ 1,533,091
(b)	Expected Annual Damage With Project		\$ 665,338
(c)	Expected Annual Damage Benefit	(a) – (b)	\$ 867,753
(d)	Present Value Coefficient		15.76
	Present Value of Future Benefits Transfer to column (e) Table		
(e)	20: Proposal Project Costs and Benefits Summary.	(c) x (d)	\$ 13,675,787

,	ind Team Partners		Table 15	– Annual Wa	ter Supply	Bene	efits			
				sts should b			•			
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	((g)	(h)	(i)	j
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x (i)
	Increased Water		,	-		_	000	\$45,000	1.000	\$45,000
2009	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	1.000	\$5,625
	Flows for							ψ3,023	1.000	ψ5,025
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75			
2010	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.943	\$42,435
2010	Increased Instream	acro-reet	0	7.5	73	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.343	\$5,304
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.943	
2011	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.89	\$40,050
	Increased Instream	40.0.001				<u> </u>		\$5,625	0.00	\$5,006
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.89	
2012	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.84	\$37,800
	Increased Instream	5.0.0				_		\$5,625		\$4,725
	Flows for Environmental									
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	0.17.000	0.84	***
2013	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.792	\$35,640
	Increased Instream Flows for							\$5,625		\$4,455
	Environmental					_				
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.792	\$33,615
2014	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.747	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$4,202
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.747	\$31,725
2015	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.705	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	ann fant	0	75	75	ф	75	\$5,625	0.705	\$3,966
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.705	\$29,925
2016	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.665	
	Flows for							\$5,625		\$3,741
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.665	
2017	Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.627	\$28,215
2017	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	a016-1661	U	7.5	7.5	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.021	\$3,527
	Flows for Environmental									, , , ,
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.627	
2018	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.592	\$26,640
	Increased Instream							\$5,625		\$3,330
	Flows for Environmental									
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	¢45,000	0.592	COE 440
2019	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.558	\$25,110
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$3,139
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	A 4 =	0.558	***
2020	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.527	\$23,715

				– Annual Wa						
()	(1)	()		sts should be			•	(1.)	(1)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)	(i)	j
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x
	Increased Instream	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	. ,			\$5,625		\$2,964
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.527	
2021	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.497	\$22,365
-	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental				-	*		\$5,625		\$2,796
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.497	
2022	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000 \$5,625	0.469	\$21,105 \$2,638
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	φ5,025	0.469	Ψ2,036
0000	Increased Water	(0	75	75	•	000	\$45,000	0.440	\$19,890
2023	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.442	\$2,486
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.442	
2024	Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.417	\$18,765
2024	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acie-leet		73	73	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.417	\$2,346
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.417	
2025	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.394	\$17,730
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$2,216
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.394	
2026	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.371	\$16,695
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental				7-		7.5	\$5,625	0.074	\$2,087
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.371	\$15,750
2027	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.35	\$1,969
	Flows for Environmental									
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	#45.000	0.35	#44.00
2028	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.331	\$14,895
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,862
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	# 45.000	0.331	044010
2029	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.312	\$14,040
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	ِ ۔						\$5,625		\$1,755
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	C45.000	0.312	¢40.000
2030	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000 \$5,625	0.294	\$13,230 \$1,654
	Flows for Environmental		2	7-	7-	_			0.001	
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.294	\$12,510
2031	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.278	\$1,564
	Flows for Environmental		•					\$5,625	0.0==	φ1,364
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.278	

				– Annual Wa						
(0)	(b)	(0)		sts should be				(b)	(i)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)	(i)	J
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x
0000	Increased Water	(0	75		_	000	\$45,000	0.000	\$11,790
2032	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.262	\$1,474
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	φ5,025	0.262	φ1,474
	Increased Water							\$45,000	0.04=	\$11,115
2033	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	#F 00F	0.247	#4.000
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.247	\$1,389
2034	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.233	\$10,485
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,311
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	A 17 000	0.233	A 2 2 2 2 2
2035	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.22	\$9,900
	Increased Instream							\$5,625		\$1,238
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.22	
	Increased Water	acre-reet		7.5	73	Ψ	73	\$45,000	0.22	\$9,315
2036	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.207	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,164
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.207	
2037	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.196	\$8,820
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.196	\$1,103
	Increased Water	acre-reer	0	73	73	Ψ	73	\$45,000	0.190	\$8,325
2038	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.185	
	Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$1,041
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.185	
2039	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.174	\$7,830
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$979
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.174	
2040	Increased Water	acre foot	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.164	\$7,380
2040	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	U	10	10	Φ	000	\$5,625	0.104	\$923
	Flows for Environmental							Ç0,020		¥023
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.164	\$6,975
2041	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.155	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	ann fant	0	75	75	·	75	\$5,625	0.455	\$872
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.155	\$6,570
2042	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.146	
	Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$821
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	¢45.000	0.146	\$6.040
2043	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.138	\$6,210

	Table 15 – Annual Water Supply Benefits (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)												
(a)	(b)	(a)	•					(b)	(i)	l :			
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)	(i)	J			
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x (i)			
	Increased Instream	(010)			(4)		4.40	\$5,625	. doto.	\$776			
	Flows for Environmental Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.138	·			
2044	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.13	\$5,850			
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.13	\$731			
	Increased Water	dore reet						\$45,000		\$5,535			
2045	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.123				
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.123	\$692			
2046	Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.116	\$5,220			
2040	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	acre-reet	0	73	73	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.110	\$653			
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.116				
2047	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.109	\$4,905			
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$613			
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.109	• • • • •			
2048	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.103	\$4,635			
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$579			
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.103	\$4,365			
2049	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.097				
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.097	\$546			
2050	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.092	\$4,140			
2000	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental	doro root				*	000	\$5,625	0.002	\$518			
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	0.17 0.00	0.092	***			
2051	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.087	\$3,915			
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental					*		\$5,625	0.05=	\$489			
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.087	\$3,690			
2052	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.082				
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.082	\$461			
2052	Increased Water							\$45,000		\$3,465			
2053	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.077	\$433			
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.077				
2054	Increased Water							\$45,000		\$3,285			
2054	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.073	\$411			
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.073				
	raiposes	a010-1001	U	13	13	Ψ	73		0.073				

Table 15 – Annual Water Supply Benefits (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)											
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)	(i)	i	
(-)	(*)	Mea-sure	(-)	(-7	Change Resulting from		(3)	(*)	(7)	Discounted	
	Type of Benefit	of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Project (e) - (d)		nit \$ alue	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Benefits (h) x	
2055	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.069	\$3,105	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental					•		\$5,625		\$388	
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.069	\$2,925	
2056	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.065	\$366	
	Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	φ5,625	0.065	φ300	
0057	Increased Water							\$45,000		\$2,745	
2057	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$5,625	0.061	\$343	
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.061		
2058	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.058	\$2,610	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental					*		\$5,625		\$326	
	Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	0.15.000	0.058	00.400	
2059	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.054	\$2,430	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.054	\$304	
2060	Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.051	\$2,295	
2000	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-reet	0	75	73	Ψ	000	\$5,625	0.031	\$287	
	Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75		0.051		
2061	Increased Water Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.048	\$2,160	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental							\$5,625		\$270	
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	¢45,000	0.048	¢0.070	
2062	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600	\$45,000	0.046	\$2,070	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental			7.5	7.5	•	7.5	\$5,625	0.040	\$259	
	Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$0	0.046	\$0	
2063	Supply/ Reliability Increased Instream Flows for	acre-feet	0		0	\$	600	\$5,625	0.043	\$242	
	Environmental Purposes Increased Water	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$45,000	0.043	\$1,845	
2064	Supply/ Reliability	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	600		0.041	, ,	
	Increased Instream Flows for Environmental Purposes	acre-feet	0	75	75	\$	75	\$5,625	0.041	\$231	
Project											
Life				Total Pres	L ent Value of	Disc	counted	Costs (Sum of 0	L Column (i))	\$858,336	
Commen	t Box							,=:-		,	

Avoided Project: Construction of conveyance capacity upgrades (e.g. culverts, storm drains, etc.) and future sediment removal and vegetation management

etc.) and future sediment removal and vegetation management Table 16 - Annual Cost of Avoided Projects												
(All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)												
	Initial Costs	Operations	and	Maintenar	nce Costs							
YEAR	(a)	(b)		(c)	(g)	(h)	(i)					
					Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs					
		Avoided			Costs		(g) x (h)					
	Avoided Capital Costs	Replacem ent Costs	-	voided M Costs	(a) + (b)+(f)							
2009	000.0	on costs	-	00010	\$0	1.000	\$0					
2010					\$0	0.943	\$0					
2011					\$0	0.89	\$0					
2012	\$ 1,000,000		\$	20,000	\$1,020,000	0.84	\$856,800					
2013			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.792	\$15,840					
2014			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.747	\$14,940					
2015			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.705	\$14,100					
2016			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.665	\$13,300					
2017			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.627	\$12,540					
2018			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.592	\$11,840					
2019			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.558	\$11,160					
2020			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.527	\$10,540					
2021			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.497	\$9,940					
2022			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.469	\$9,380					
2023			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.442	\$8,840					
2024			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.417	\$8,340					
2025			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.394	\$7,880					
2026			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.371	\$7,420					
2027			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.35	\$7,420					
2028			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.331	\$6,620					
2029			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.312	\$6,240					
2030			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.294						
2031			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.294	\$5,880 \$5,560					
2032			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.262	\$5,360					
2032			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.202						
2034			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.233	\$4,940					
2035			\$	20,000		0.23	\$4,660					
2036			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.22	\$4,400					
2036			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.207	\$4,140					
				20,000	\$20,000		\$3,920					
2038			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.185 0.174	\$3,700					
2039			\$	20,000	\$20,000		\$3,480					
					\$20,000	0.164	\$3,280					
2041			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.155	\$3,100					
2042			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.146	\$2,920					
2043			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.138	\$2,760					
2044			\$ 6	20,000	\$20,000	0.13	\$2,600					
2045			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.123	\$2,460					
2046			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.116	\$2,320					
2047			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.109	\$2,180					
2048			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.103	\$2,060					
2049			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.097	\$1,940					
2050			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.092	\$1,840					
2051			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.087	\$1,740					
2052			\$	20,000	\$20,000	0.082	\$1,640					

Table 16 - Annual Cost of Avoided Projects (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)													
	Initial Costs												
YEAR	(a)	(b)	(c)	(g)	(h)	(i)							
				Total	Discount Factor	Discounted Costs							
		Avoided		Costs		(g) x (h)							
	Avoided Capital Costs	Replacem ent Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	(a) + (b)+(f)									
2053			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.077	\$1,540							
2054			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.073	\$1,460							
2055			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.069	\$1,380							
2056			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.065	\$1,300							
2057			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.061	\$1,220							
2058			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.058	\$1,160							
2059			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.054	\$1,080							
2060			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.051	\$1,020							
2061			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.048	\$960							
2062			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.046	\$920							
2063			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.043	\$860							
2064			\$ 20,000	\$20,000	0.041	\$820							
Project Life				\$0		\$0							
	Total P	resent Value	of Discounted	Costs (Sum of 0	Column (i))	\$1,123,200							
Commen	t Box	•	•	•									

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins -

Table 17 – Annual Other Water Supply Benefits

Reduced electricity costs associated with pumping not quantifiable at this time.

	Table 18 – Total Water Supply Benefits											
Total Discounted		Total Dis	scounted	Other Discounte	ed	Total Present Value of						
Water Supply		Avoided Project		Water Supply		Discour	nted Benefits (d)					
Benefits (a)		Costs (b))	Benefits (c)		(a) + (c)	or (b) + (c)					
\$	858,336	\$	1,123,200	\$ -	-	\$	1,981,536					

Project Title: Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins - Sonoma County Water Agency and Team Partners

ream Pai	Team Partners Table 19 – Water Quality and Other Expected Benefits											
		Tubic		s should be in								
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	j			
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e)	Unit \$ Value	Annual \$ Value	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x			
2009	Type of Berlefit	benefit (Offits)	FTOJECT	with Floject	- (d) 0	value	(f) x (g) \$0	1.000	(i) \$0			
2009					0		\$0	1.000	\$0			
					0		\$0	1.000	\$0			
					0		\$0	1.000	\$0			
2010					0		\$0	0.943	\$0			
20.0					0		\$0	0.943	\$0			
2011	Avoided costs associated with reduction in sedmient	Unquantifiable			0		\$0	0.89	\$0			
	Passive use values associated with increased spawning habitat and increased salmon populations	Unquantifiable			0		\$0	0.89	\$0			
2012	Cultural value associated with increased spawning habitat and increased salmon populations	Unquantifiable			0		\$0	0.84	\$0			
	Potential increased quality of						\$0		\$0			
	drinking water	Unquantifiable			0			0.84				
2013	Avoided cost of sediment deposition				0		\$0	0.792	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.792	\$0			
2014					0		\$0	0.747	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.747	\$0			
2015					0		\$0	0.705	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.705	\$0			
2016					0		\$0	0.665	\$0			
2047					0		\$0 \$0	0.665	\$0 \$0			
2017					0		\$0 \$0	0.627 0.627	\$0 \$0			
2018					0		\$0	0.627	\$0			
2010					0		\$0	0.592	\$0			
2019					0		\$0	0.558	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.558	\$0			
2020					0		\$0	0.527	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.527	\$0			
2021					0		\$0	0.497	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.497	\$0			
2022					0		\$0	0.469	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.469	\$0			
2023					0		\$0	0.442	\$0			
					0		\$0	0.442	\$0			
2024					0		\$0	0.417	\$0			
0005					0		\$0 \$0	0.417	\$0 \$0			
2025					0		\$0 \$0	0.394 0.394	\$0 \$0			
2026	-				0		\$0	0.394	\$0 \$0			
2020					0		\$0	0.371	\$0			
				<u> </u>	U		ΨΟ	0.07 1	Ψυ			

	Table 19 – Water Quality and Other Expected Benefits (All costs should be in 2009 Dollars)												
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	j				
	Turns of Dansfit	Mea-sure of	Without	With Draines	Change Resulting from Project (e)	Unit \$	Annual \$ Value		Discounted Benefits (h) x				
2007	Type of Benefit	Benefit (Units)	Project	With Project		Value	(f) x (g)	Factor	(i)				
2027					0		\$0	0.35	\$0				
0000					0		\$0	0.35	\$0				
2028					0		\$0	0.331	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.331	\$0				
2029					0		\$0	0.312	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.312	\$0				
2030					0		\$0	0.294	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.294	\$0				
2031					0		\$0	0.278	\$0				
0000					0		\$0	0.278	\$0 \$0				
2032					0		\$0	0.262	\$0 \$0				
0000					0		\$0 \$0	0.262	\$0 \$0				
2033					0		\$0 \$0	0.247	\$0 \$0				
2024					0		\$0 \$0	0.247	\$0 \$0				
2034					0		\$0	0.233 0.233	\$0				
2035					0		\$0	0.233	\$0				
2035					0		\$0	0.22	\$0				
2026					0		\$0	0.22	\$0				
2036					0		\$0	0.207	\$0				
2037					0		\$0	0.207	\$0				
2037					0		\$0	0.196	\$0				
2038					0		\$0	0.196	\$0				
2030					0		\$0	0.185	\$0				
2039					0		\$0	0.174	\$0				
2003					0		\$0	0.174	\$0				
2040					0		\$0	0.164	\$0				
2040					0		\$0	0.164	\$0				
2041					0		\$0	0.155	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.155	\$0				
2042					0		\$0	0.146	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.146	\$0				
2043					0		\$0	0.138	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.138	\$0				
2044					0		\$0	0.13	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.13	\$0				
2045					0		\$0	0.123	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.123	\$0				
2046					0		\$0	0.116	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.116	\$0				
2047					0		\$0	0.109	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.109	\$0				
2048					0		\$0	0.103	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.103	\$0				
2049					0		\$0	0.097	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.097	\$0				
2050					0		\$0	0.092	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.092	\$0				
2051			-		0		\$0	0.087	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.087	\$0				
2052					0		\$0	0.082	\$0				
					0		\$0	0.082	\$0				
2053					0		\$0	0.077	\$0				

Table 19 – Water Quality and Other Expected Benefits											
			(All costs	s should be in	n 2009 Dolla	rs)					
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	j		
	Type of Benefit	Mea-sure of Benefit (Units)	Without Project	With Project	Change Resulting from Project (e) – (d)	Unit \$ Value	Annual \$ Value (f) x (g)	Discount Factor	Discounted Benefits (h) x (i)		
					0		\$0	0.077	\$0		
2054					0		\$0	0.073	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.073	\$0		
2055					0		\$0	0.069	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.069	\$0		
2056					0		\$0	0.065	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.065	\$0		
2057					0		\$0	0.061	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.061	\$0		
2058					0		\$0	0.058	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.058	\$0		
2059					0		\$0	0.054	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.054	\$0		
2060					0		\$0	0.051	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.051	\$0		
2061					0		\$0	0.048	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.048	\$0		
2062					0		\$0	0.046	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.046	\$0		
2063					0		\$0	0.043	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.043	\$0		
2064					0		\$0	0.041	\$0		
					0		\$0	0.041	\$0		
Project Life											
Commen	t Box			Total Prese	ent Value of	Discounted	Costs (Sum of C	Column (i))	\$0		

Table 20 – Proposal Project Costs and Benefits Summary for Proposition 1E

	Table 20 TTOPOSATTTO	,		,			
			Total Present Value Project Benefits				
		Total Present			Other Water		
		Value Project		Flood Damage	Quality		
Project	Agency	Costs	Water Supply	•	Benefits	Total Benefits	B/C Ratio
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g) [d+e+f]	(h) [g/c]
Copeland Creek Enhancement							
and Restoration Project:	Sonoma County Water						
Detention and Recharge Basins	Agency and Team Partners	\$ 8,402,992	\$ 858,336	\$ 13,675,787	\$ 1,981,536	\$ 16,515,659	1.97